

Supplementary information to:

Letter to the editor:

TOWARDS RESPONSIBLE RESEARCH: EXAMINING THE NEED FOR PREPRINT POLICY REASSESSMENT IN THE ERA OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Ismail Dergaa^{1,2,3*}, Karim Chamari⁴, Jordan M. Glenn⁵, Mohamed Ben Aissa⁶,
Noomen Guelmami⁷, Helmi Ben Saad^{8,9,10}

- ¹ Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC), Doha, Qatar
- ² Research Unit Physical Activity, Sport, and Health, UR18JS01, National Observatory of Sport, Tunis 1003, Tunisia
- ³ High Institute of Sport and Physical Education, University of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia
- ⁴ Aspetar, Orthopedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, FIFA Medical Center of Excellence, Doha, Qatar
- ⁵ Neurotrack Technologies, California, USA
- ⁶ Department of Human and Social Sciences, High Institute of Sport and Physical Education of Kef, University of Jendouba, Kef, Tunisia
- ⁷ Postgraduate School of Public Health, Department of Health Sciences (DISSAL), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy
- ⁸ University of Sousse, Farhat HACHED Hospital, Service of Physiology and Functional Explorations, Sousse, Tunisia
- ⁹ University of Sousse, Farhat HACHED Hospital, Research Laboratory LR12SP09 «Heart Failure», Sousse, Tunisia
- ¹⁰ University of Sousse, Faculty of Medicine of Sousse, Laboratory of Physiology, Sousse, Tunisia

* **Corresponding author:** Dr. Ismail Dergaa (Ph.D./ M.Sc.), Primary Health Care Corporation (PHCC), Doha, P.O. Box 26555, Qatar. E-mail: Phd.dergaa@gmail.com; idergaa@phcc.gov.qa

<https://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2023-6324>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Supplementary Table 1: Three actions needed to mitigate the risks associated with preprints and artificial intelligence technologies

N°	What is the action?	How and/or why?
1.	Researchers must: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Prioritize the accuracy and reliability of their work• Remain transparent about any limitations or uncertainties in their findings	This can be accomplished through: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rigorous testing and validation• Clear communication of methods and data
2.	Peer reviewers and editors should: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Exercise greater vigilance in identifying preprints in the references section of submitted manuscripts• Request that authors remove preprints from references when they are identified	This is because preprints: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Are not peer-reviewed• May contain unverified or unreliable information• The presence of preprints in a reference section could lead to incorrect or misleading conclusions
3.	Readers must: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Approach preprints with caution• Seek additional sources of information to verify the findings before making decisions	This can involve: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Consulting other experts in the field• Reviewing the author's reputation and track record• Examining any potential biases or limitations of the research